

2003 DRAFTING REQUEST**Bill**Received: **01/17/2003**Received By: **rkite**Wanted: **As time permits**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Dan Meyer (608) 266-7141**By/Representing: **Eric**This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**Drafter: **rkite**

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: **Nat. Res. - fish and game**

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: **YES**Requester's email: **Rep.Meyer@legis.state.wi.us**

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Determining what constitutes DNR "administration" for federal funding eligibility

Instructions:

Redraft 2001 AB 554 (01-3817)

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	rkite 01/17/2003	csicilia 01/17/2003					
/1			chaskett 01/17/2003		lemery 01/17/2003	mbarman 01/21/2003	

FE Sent For:

<END>

2003 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 01/17/2003

Received By: **rkite**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Dan Meyer (608) 266-7141**

By/Representing: **Eric**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **rkite**

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: **Nat. Res. - fish and game**

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: **YES**

Requester's email: **Rep.Meyer@legis.state.wi.us**

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Determining what constitutes DNR "administration" for federal funding eligibilty

Instructions:

Redraft 2001 AB 554 (01-3817)

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	rkite 01/17/2003	csicilia 01/17/2003		_____			
/1			chaskett 01/17/2003	_____	lemery 01/17/2003		

FE Sent For:

<END>

2003 DRAFTING REQUEST**Bill**Received: **01/17/2003**Received By: **rkite**Wanted: **As time permits**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Dan Meyer (608) 266-7141**By/Representing: **Eric**This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**Drafter: **rkite**

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: **Nat. Res. - fish and game**

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: **YES**Requester's email: **Rep.Meyer@legis.state.wi.us**

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Determining what constitutes DNR "administration" for federal funding eligibility

Instructions:

Redraft 2001 AB 554 (01-3817)

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
1/?	rkite	1 cjs 1/17 03	1 cph 1/17	cph/rs 1/17			

FE Sent For:

<END>

2003

Date (time)
needed

today

1570

LRB -

BH 1 1

BILL

D-Note

RNK : gjs :

Use the appropriate components and routines developed for bills.

AN ACT ... [generate catalog] *to repeal ... ; to renumber ... ; to consolidate and renumber ... ; to renumber and amend ... ; to consolidate, renumber and amend ... ; to amend ... ; to repeal and recreate ... ; and to create ...* of the statutes; relating to:

[NOTE: See section 4.02 (2) (br), Drafting Manual, for specific order of standard phrases.]

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

If titles are needed in the analysis, in the component bar:

For the main heading, execute: create → anal: → title: → head

For the subheading, execute: create → anal: → title: → sub

For the sub-subheading, execute: create → anal: → title: → sub-sub

For the analysis text, in the component bar:

For the text paragraph, execute: create → anal: → text

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION #.

2001 ASSEMBLY BILL 554

October 10, 2001 – Introduced by Representatives D. MEYER, FRISKE, MONTGOMERY, CARD, PETTIS, DUFF, JOHNSRUD, MUSSER, M. LEIMAN, GUNDERSON, STARZYK, LADWIG, SYKORA, KRAWCZYK, OWENS, STONE, AINSWORTH, JENSEN, J. FITZGERALD, GRONEMUS, OLSEN, LEIBHAM, KREIBICH, MEYERHOFER, OTT, SUDER, ALBERS, SERATTI and GROTHMAN, cosponsored by Senators WELCH and SCHULTZ. Referred to Committee on Natural Resources.

1 **AN ACT** *to create* 25.29 (3g) of the statutes; **relating to:** determining what
2 constitutes the administration of the department of natural resources when
3 managing the state's fish and wildlife resources.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

This state currently receives federal funding for the conservation of fish and wildlife under the Pittman-Robertson Wildlife Restoration Act and the Dingell-Johnson Sport Fish Restoration Act. These moneys are used for wildlife restoration projects and fish restoration and management projects. A state is not eligible for these federal moneys unless the state has enacted a prohibition against the use of hunting and recreational fishing license fees for any purpose other than the administration of the state fish and wildlife agency. This state's fish and wildlife agency is that part of the department of natural resources (DNR) that is responsible for the management of the state's fish and wildlife resources. Current law conforms with the federal eligibility requirement. This bill requires the joint committee on finance to determine what constitutes the administration of DNR when DNR is exercising its responsibilities that are specific to the management of the fish and wildlife resources of this state.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

ASSEMBLY BILL 554

SECTION 1. 25.29 (3g) of the statutes is created to read:

25.29 (3g) For purposes of sub. (3) and s. 29.037, the joint committee on finance shall determine what constitutes the administration of the department when ~~it~~ is exercising its responsibilities that are specific to the management of the fish and wildlife resources of this state.

(END)

DRAFTER'S NOTE
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-1570/1dn

RNK:/:...

cjs

✓

This is a redraft of 2001 Assembly Bill 554. Upon review of this draft, I note that there is no mechanism specified in the draft for the joint committee on finance to determine what constitutes the administration of the ~~Department~~. In order to ensure that the joint committee on finance has the desired input, a more typical approach would be for DNR to prepare a report containing this determination and to require DNR to submit the report to the joint committee on finance for review. At that point, the committee could approve or deny the report.

If you would like to discuss the draft or if you have any questions concerning the draft, please feel free to contact me. ✓

Robin N. Kite
Legislative Attorney
Phone: (608) 266-7291
E-mail: robin.kite@legis.state.wi.us

DRAFTER'S NOTE
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-1570/1dn
RNK:cjs:cpl

January 17, 2003

This is a redraft of 2001 Assembly Bill 554. Upon review of this draft, I note that there is no mechanism specified in the draft for the Joint Committee on Finance to determine what constitutes the administration of DNR. In order to ensure that the Joint Committee on Finance has the desired input, a more typical approach would be for DNR to prepare a report containing this determination and to require DNR to submit the report to the Joint Committee on Finance for review. At that point, the committee could approve or deny the report.

If you would like to discuss the draft or if you have any questions concerning the draft, please feel free to contact me.

Robin N. Kite
Legislative Attorney
Phone: (608) 266-7291
E-mail: robin.kite@legis.state.wi.us

Barman, Mike

From: Christianson, Eric
Sent: Monday, January 20, 2003 8:55 AM
To: LRB.Legal
Subject: Draft review: LRB-1570/1 Topic: Determining what constitutes DNR "administration" for federal funding eligibilty

It has been requested by <Christianson, Eric> that the following draft be jacketed for the ASSEMBLY:

Draft review: LRB-1570/1 Topic: Determining what constitutes DNR "administration" for federal funding eligibility